

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAY 21 2008

10/824,819  
67010-087; H2699-GC**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application: Daley, Ronald J.  
Serial No.: 10/824,819  
Filed: April 15, 2004  
Group Art Unit: 2619  
Examiner: Sefchek, Gregory B.  
For: INTEGRATED INTERFACE FOR A COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

Commissioner for patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE**

Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Final Office Action mailed on February 5, 2008.

The examiner objects to the drawings because the main bus in Fig. 3 is identified by element number 102 instead of 12, as on page 1 of the specification. A replacement sheet for Figure 3 is submitted with this response with the element numeral 102 replaced with the numeral 12 as suggested by the examiner. As such the applicant requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

The examiner has not considered the previously presented arguments as persuasive. In the "response to arguments" section of his February 5, 2008 Office Action, the examiner equates "controlling an ambient temperature" with "programming." This statement is not supported with any references, and appears to be relying on uncited "common knowledge" for this statement. The applicant requests that the examiner either provide support for his assertion that controlling an ambient temperature is equivalent to "programming" or withdraw his rejections based on the combination of APA in view of Lui.

The examiner additionally rejected the applicant's arguments with regard to the 103(a) rejection of claims 7 and 13. The examiner has argued that the presence of a bus idle detection